


LETTER

Open Access



RECOVERY- Respiratory Support: Respiratory Strategies for patients with suspected or proven COVID-19 respiratory failure; Continuous Positive Airway Pressure, High-flow Nasal Oxygen, and standard care: A structured summary of a study protocol for a randomised controlled trial

Gavin D. Perkins^{1,2}, Keith Couper^{1,2}, Bronwen Connolly³, J. Kenneth Baillie^{4,5}, Judy M. Bradley³, Paul Dark⁶, Anthony De Souza^{7,8}, Ellen Gorman³, Alasdair Gray^{9,10}, Louisa Hamilton¹, Nicholas Hart^{11,12}, Chen Ji¹, Ranjit Lall¹, Nicola McGowan¹, Scott Regan¹, Anita K. Simonds¹³, Emma Skilton¹, Nigel Stallard¹⁴, Emily Stimpson¹, Joyce Yeung^{1,2} and Daniel F. McAuley^{3*} 

Abstract

Objective: The trial objective is to determine if Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP) or High-Flow Nasal Oxygen (HFNO) is clinically effective compared to standard oxygen therapy in patients with confirmed or suspected COVID-19.

Trial design: Adaptive (group-sequential), parallel group, pragmatic, superiority randomised controlled, open-label, multi-centre, effectiveness trial.

Participants: The trial is being conducted across approximately 60 hospitals across England, Wales, Scotland, and Northern Ireland. Inpatients at participating hospitals are eligible to participate if they have respiratory failure with suspected or proven COVID-19, and meet all of the inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria: 1) Adults ≥ 18 years; 2) Admitted to hospital with suspected or proven COVID-19; 3) Receiving oxygen with fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO_2) ≥ 0.4 and peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO_2) $\leq 94\%$; and 4) Plan for escalation to tracheal intubation if needed.

Exclusion criteria: 1) Planned tracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation imminent within 1 hour; 2) Known or clinically apparent pregnancy; 3) Any absolute contraindication to CPAP or HFNO; 4) Decision not to intubate due

(Continued on next page)

* Correspondence: d.f.mcauley@qub.ac.uk

³Wellcome Wolfson Institute for Experimental Medicine, School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Science Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

(Continued from previous page)

to ceiling of treatment or withdrawal of treatment anticipated; and 5) Equipment for both CPAP and HFNO not available.

Intervention and comparator: Intervention one: Continuous positive airway pressure delivered by any device. Set-up and therapy titration is not protocolised and is delivered in accordance with clinical discretion.

Intervention two: High-flow nasal oxygen delivered by any device. Set-up and therapy titration is not protocolised and is delivered in accordance with clinical discretion.

Comparator group: Standard care- oxygen delivered by face mask or nasal cannula (excluding the use of continuous positive airway pressure or high-flow nasal oxygen). Set-up and therapy titration is not protocolised and is delivered in accordance with clinical discretion.

Intervention delivery continues up to the point of death, tracheal intubation, or clinical determination that there is no ongoing need (palliation or improvement).

Main outcomes: The primary outcome is a composite outcome comprising tracheal intubation or mortality within 30 days following randomisation.

Secondary outcomes include tracheal intubation rate, time to tracheal intubation, duration of invasive ventilation, mortality rate, time to mortality, length of hospital stay, and length of critical care stay.

Randomisation: Participants are randomised in a 1:1:1 ratio to receive either continuous positive airway pressure, high-flow nasal oxygen or standard care. Due to the challenging environment of study delivery, a specific intervention may not always be available at the hospital site. The study uses two integrated randomisation systems to allow, where required, the site to randomise between all three interventions, between CPAP and standard care, and between HFNO and standard care. System integration ensures maintenance of balance between interventions. Randomisation is performed using a telephone-based interactive voice response system to maintain allocation concealment. The randomisation sequence was computer-generated using the minimisation method. Participant randomisation is stratified by site, gender (M/F), and age (<50, ≥50 years).

Blinding (masking): The nature of the trial interventions precludes blinding of the researcher, patient and clinical team. Primary and secondary outcomes are all objective outcomes, thereby minimising the risk of detection bias.

Numbers to be randomised (sample size): 4002 participants (1334 to be randomized to each of the three study arms)

Trial Status: Current protocol: Version 4.0, 29th May 2020. Recruitment began on April 6, 2020 and is anticipated to be complete by April 5, 2021.

The trial has been awarded Urgent Public Health status by the National Institute of Health Research on 13th April 2020.

Trial registration: ISRCTN, ISRCTN16912075. Registered 6th April 2020, <http://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN16912075>

Full protocol: The full protocol (version 4.0, 29th May 2020) is attached as an additional file, accessible from the Trials website (Additional file 1). In the interest in expediting dissemination of this material, the familiar formatting has been eliminated; this Letter serves as a summary of the key elements of the full protocol.

The study protocol has been reported in accordance with the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Clinical Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) guidelines (Additional file 2).

Keywords: COVID-19, Randomised controlled trial, protocol, continuous positive airway pressure, oxygen inhalation therapy, high-flow nasal oxygen

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-020-04617-3>.

Additional file 1.

Additional file 2.

members of the Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee, and members of the Trial Steering Committee.

Authors' contributions

GDP and DFM conceived the study. All authors contributed to study development and writing of the protocol, and approved the final protocol.

Funding

The study is funded by the National Institute of Health Research. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The study sponsor and funder

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the Intensive Care Society, British Thoracic Society, West Midlands Academic Research Centre,

have no role in the study design, data acquisition, data analysis or manuscript preparation.

Availability of data and materials

The investigator team will have full access to the final trial dataset. The trial will be reported in accordance with the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) guidelines. Publications will be published in peer-reviewed open access journals.

Requests for data sharing will be reviewed on an individual basis by the chief investigator. The study will comply with the good practice principles for sharing individual participant data from publicly funded clinical trials and data sharing will be undertaken in accordance with the required regulatory requirements."

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The trial received ethical approval from the London - Brighton & Sussex Research Ethics Committee on 3rd April 2020 (Reference number: 20/HRA/1696).

Consent is obtained prior to participation in individuals with mental capacity. In England, Wales and Northern Ireland, individuals that lack mental capacity may be initially enrolled without consent in accordance with the Mental Capacity Act 2005.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK. ²Critical care unit, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK. ³Wellcome Wolfson Institute for Experimental Medicine, School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Science Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK. ⁴The Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ⁵Intensive Care Unit, Royal Infirmary Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ⁶Division of Infection, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine, NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK. ⁷Institute of Cellular Medicine, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre for Ageing, Newcastle University, Newcastle, UK. ⁸Department of Respiratory Medicine, Freeman Hospital, Newcastle, UK. ⁹Emergency Medicine Research Group of Edinburgh, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ¹⁰Department of Emergency Medicine, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ¹¹Centre for Human and Applied Physiological Sciences, King's College London, London, UK. ¹²Lane Fox Respiratory Service, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. ¹³Sleep and Ventilation Unit, Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. ¹⁴Division of Health Sciences, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK.

Received: 8 July 2020 Accepted: 15 July 2020

Published online: 29 July 2020

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

